Quick Guide to Quantitative Most Common Errors
May 2012

A. General research and writing practices 8

A1. Insufficient knowledge of the literature 8
A2. Not referencing statements 9
A3. Presenting conclusions rather than data from references 10
A4. Endnotes not in standard style 11
A5. Not using standard draft manuscript form 11
A6. Repeating information 12
A7. Labelling a scientific document as 'final' 13
A8. Characterizing an observation as 'the first' 13

B. Content of quantitative papers 14

B1. Improper focus or format of title and abstract 14
B2. Confusing the role of Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion 15
B3. Not writing the Methods section in chronological order 16
B4. Not emphasizing steps taken to protect ethical rights 16
B5. Listing interpretations, but not defending one in the Discussion 16
B6. Not fully explaining limitations 17
B7. Writing generic recommendations 17
B8. Presenting new data in the Discussion 18
B9. Asserting seasonality with a single year of data 18
B10. Assuming association is causality 18
B11. Recommending a massive increase in funding 19
B12. An insufficiently focused Introduction 20
B13. Failure to clarify key sample size assumptions 20

C. Mechanics of writing 21

C1. Using non-standard abbreviations 21
C2. Using non-standard spaces 22
C3. Improper spelling 23
C4. Capitalization problems 23
C5. Failure to spell out an isolated numeral < 10 24
C6. Starting a sentence with a numeral 24
C7. Not indenting paragraphs 25
C8. Not aligning text to the left 25
C9. Problems with parentheses 25
C10. Not using the correct form of the icddr,b logo/acronym 25
C11. Misplaced commas in large numbers 26

D. Grammatical structures and stylistic strategies 26

D1. Using present rather than past tense 26
D2. Failure to use definite and indefinite articles 27
D3. Excessive use of passive voice 27
D4. Improper use of 'we' 28
D5. Writing from a psychological perspective 29

E. Achieving clarity and conciseness 29

E1. Labelling rather than explaining 29
E2. Using weak opening phrases for sentences 30
E3. Using adjectives and qualifiers 30
E4. Overusing studies or authors as sentence subjects 31
E5. Using non-descriptive numeric or alphabetical labels 31
E6. Using respectively 31
E7. Using the word etcetera 32
E8. Using Bangla as an English word 32
E9. Using local words, expressions or monetary figures 32
E10. Using the term 'developing country' 33
E11. Using the term 'socio-economic status' as a synonym for wealth 33
E12. Using the term 'random' in its non-technical sense 33
E13. Using the verb 'documented' 34
E14. Framing an argument in terms of need 34
E15. Using the term 'illiterate' as a synonym for 'no formal education' 35
E16. Using the word 'challenging' as a synonym for 'difficult' 35
E17. Describing a laboratory test result as positive 36
E18. Using the term 'reliable' in its non-technical sense 36

F. Recording scientific data 36

F1. Using statistics in place of the study question to frame results 36
F2. Not presenting the raw data 37
F3. Using too many decimal places 38
F4. Using too few decimal places 39
F5. Using incomplete headings for tables and figures 39
F6. Imbalance between table and narrative presentation of the results 40
F7. Pointing too explicitly to tables and figures 40
F8. Using inappropriate figures 41
F9. Using the wrong symbol to designate degree 41
F10. Using non-standard footnote symbols in tables 41
F11. Comparing to a varying baseline 42
F12. Generic data tables that lack a clear message 42
F13. Table layout that impairs comparisons* 43

G. Approaching publication 44

G1. Failure to respond to reviewers' comments 44
G2. Invalid authorship line 46
G3. Missing acknowledgement section 47
G4. Choosing an inappropriate journal 48
G5. Not following a specific journal's details of style 49
G6. Not using a checklist to review your paper before submission 49
G7. Not following icddr,b's sign-off requirements 49